

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiesa: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/560,358	12/12/2005	Fumio Shimizu	SON-3031	8888
23353 RADER FISH	7590 12/09/200 MAN & GRAUER PLI	EXAMINER		
LION BUILDING			STORK, KYLE R	
1233 20TH ST WASHINGTO	REET N.W., SUITE 50 N. DC 20036	1	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	.,		2178	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/09/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision	Application/Control No.	Reexamination	
from Pre-Appeal Brief	10/560,358	SHIMIZU ET AL.	
Review		Art Unit	
Review	KYLE STORK	2178	

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief I	Request for Review filed 6 November 2008.
 Improper Request – The Request reason(s): 	st is improper and a conference will not be held for the following
The request does not include re	een filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. pasons why a review is appropriate. idded with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.
The time period for filing a response co the mail date of the last Office commun	ntinues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or fron ication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains under ap is required to submit an appeal brief in a brief will be reset to be one month from running from the receipt of the notice of	peals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has be peal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applica accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an apper mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of R 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt da
☑ The panel has determined the Claim(s) allowed: ☐ Claim(s) objected to: ☐ Claim(s) rejected: <u>1.4.7.8</u> ☐ Claim(s) withdrawn from consider	status of the claim(s) is as follows:
	rence has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of in the merits remains closed. No further action is required by
4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A confere action will be mailed. No further action	ence has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office is required by applicant at this time.
All participants:	
(1) KYLE STORK.	(3)/Stephen Hong/.
(2) <u>MUJTABA CHAUDRY</u> .	(4)
/Stephen S. Hong/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2178	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office